



The Journal of Multidisciplinary Research (TJMDR)

Content Available www.saap.org.in

ISSN: 2583-0317



THE WORLD OF UNFAMILIAR FACES: A REVIEW OF THE PREVALENCE, DIAGNOSIS, AND FUTURE HORIZONS OF PROSOPAGNOSIA

R. VINEELA HASINY^{*1}, N. BHARATH KUMAR REDDY², B. DEVIKA³, B. SASI VARDHAN⁴, M. AKHILA⁵, A. AKSHAYA⁶

¹Assistant Professor, Department of Pharmacy Practice, College of Pharmacy, Annamacharya University, Andhra Pradesh, India

^{2, 3, 4, 5}Pharm.D Interns, Department of Pharmacy Practice, Annamacharya College of Pharmacy, Andhra Pradesh, India

⁶Pharm.D V Year, Department of Pharmacy Practice, Annamacharya College of Pharmacy, Andhra Pradesh, India

Article History: Received: 04 June 2026 Revised: 27 June 2026 Accepted: 22 July 2026

Abstract:

Prosopagnosia, commonly known as face blindness, is a neurological condition characterized by a marked inability to recognize familiar faces, and in some cases, even one's own face. Historically considered a rare disorder primarily associated with acquired brain injury, the recognition of developmental prosopagnosia as a common condition has renewed scientific interest in the disorder. This review provides a comprehensive overview of the current understanding of prosopagnosia, with particular emphasis on its prevalence, diagnosis, genetic basis, and potential therapeutic approaches. Subjective and objective diagnostic measures, including the Cambridge Face Memory Test (CFMT) and the Prosopagnosia Index (PI20), are discussed. Developmental prosopagnosia is estimated to affect more than 2% of the general population, highlighting the importance of increased awareness and accurate diagnosis. The review also examines the substantial genetic contribution to developmental prosopagnosia, including evidence for high heritability, while considering the potential influence of environmental factors. Currently, there is no established standard treatment, and management primarily relies on compensatory strategies to help individuals cope with difficulties in face recognition. Nevertheless, emerging research offers promising future directions, including the potential use of neuromodulatory agents such as oxytocin, advances in genetic research and neuroimaging, and targeted cognitive training. Overall, this review summarizes current knowledge of prosopagnosia and identifies important avenues for future research aimed at improving diagnosis, understanding underlying mechanisms, and developing effective interventions and support strategies for individuals affected by face blindness.

Keywords: Prosopagnosia; Face Blindness; Developmental Prosopagnosia; Cambridge Face Memory Test; PI20; Genetics; Oxytocin; Diagnosis.

This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution-Non Commercial 4.0 International License.

Copyright © 2026 Author(s) retains the copyright of this article.



*Corresponding Author

R. Vineela Hasiny

Produced and Published by

South Asian Academic Publications

I. INTRODUCTION

Consider losing the ability to recognize your friends, family, or even your own image in a mirror. For individuals with prosopagnosia, often referred to as face blindness, this happens daily. This issue is not associated with memory in the typical sense, nor with vision. A person with prosopagnosia can recognize the separate components of a face—the eyes, nose, mouth—but cannot integrate these features to create a recognizable whole, a distinct identity [1]. The

experience resembles a neurotypical individual attempting to identify unique sheep within a flock; each element is recognized, yet the overarching pattern indicating "this is a particular, familiar person" is missing.

Historically, prosopagnosia was acknowledged solely in its acquired form, arising from particular brain injuries, such as a stroke or head trauma, affecting the brain's posterior areas [2]. Since this type of damage is quite rare, the condition was viewed as rather unusual. It was countered by the acknowledgment of developmental prosopagnosia (DP), an innate inability to recognize faces that arise without any apparent brain injury, while possessing normal intelligence and vision [3]. Consequently, it has turned prosopagnosia from merely an academic curiosity into a significant public health issue, impacting numerous people who

might not even know that their difficulties have a particular label. This review will encapsulate our existing understanding of this intriguing condition, focusing on its frequency and diagnosis, as well as its biological foundations and the ongoing quest for successful treatments.

2. PREVALENCE: AN UNEXPECTEDLY FREQUENT SITUATION

One of the most surprising recent discoveries in the field is how prevalent developmental prosopagnosia seems to be. Although previous estimates differed, a significant study by Kennerknecht and Hasteam offered strong proof of a substantially larger number than was previously believed. Their study, carried out on a substantial group of German students, indicated a prevalence of around 2.5% [4]. Later research has confirmed this, with the majority of estimates now consistently estimating the prevalence of DP to be between 2% and 2.9% [5].

To illustrate this, it suggests that in a gathering of 50 individuals, at least one probably experiences considerable trouble identifying faces. This carries significant consequences. Numerous people with DP come to think they are just "poor with names," socially inept, or detached, resulting in social anxiety and career challenges. Acknowledging DP as a prevalent neurodevelopmental variant is the initial move to lessen this stigma and offers suitable support [6].

3. DIAGNOSING THE DEFICIT: TOOLS OF THE TRADE

Diagnosing prosopagnosia involves a comprehensive strategy to differentiate it from general memory issues or various neurological disorders. The diagnostic toolkit comprises subjective reports along with objective, performance-oriented assessments.

Subjective Evaluation: Typically, the primary instrument is a self-report survey. The 20-item Prosopagnosia Index (PI20) is the most used tool, prompting people to evaluate their experiences in everyday scenarios, like "I have not identified a close friend or relative" or "I feel nervous in social settings as I may not recognize individuals" [7]. Although subjective, a high PI20 score indicates that additional objective testing is necessary.

Objective Behavioral Assessments: To verify a diagnosis, investigators depend on standardized, computer-administered tests. The Cambridge Face Memory Test (CFMT) is the gold standard. In this assessment, participants examine a sequence of unknown male faces and are later evaluated on their capacity to identify them from a group of three, frequently with alterations in lighting or perspective [8]. This test is tough for many individuals but particularly hard for those with DP. Additional important assessments comprise:

- **The Cambridge Face Perception Test:** This evaluates the capability to detect slight variations

among faces, focusing on perception instead of recall [9].

- **Renowned Figures Assessment:** This evaluates the ability to recognize famous personalities, engaging long-term memory for faces acquired throughout one's life. Weak performance in this case, even with knowledge of the individual's identity from their name, is a typical indication [1].

Neurophysiological Indicators: In investigative environments, electroencephalography (EEG) offers insight into the brain's immediate processing of facial stimuli. A particular brainwave element, the N170, appears around 170 milliseconds after an individual views a face and is believed to represent the initial phases of facial encoding. In numerous people with DP, the N170 response might be postponed, diminished or delayed.

4. THE GENOTYPIC AND ENVIRONMENTAL TAPESTRY

There is compelling evidence for a robust genetic component to developmental prosopagnosia. The disorder occurs within families with stunning frequency. Early case series indicated that it was unusual to have an individual with DP without at least one first-degree relative with comparable difficulties [4, 11]. Twin studies formally have also confirmed this, with a much greater concordance rate for ability to recognize faces between identical twins than between fraternal twins, with high heritability estimates [12]. This high degree of familial clustering implies a loss-of-function mode of inheritance, perhaps autosomal dominant, in most families. This would mean that a single gene mutation might be sufficient to produce the condition. However, as difficult as scientists have tried, the causative gene or genes are still unknown. The current hypothesis is that DP is genetically heterogeneous, i.e., mutations in one of several different genes may result in the same endpoint [11]. The search for these genes is an active area of research because their identification would reveal the basic molecular mechanisms required to create a facial identity. While genetics is the big driver, environmental influences, in this case early visual experience, are important. The brain does not learn face-processing skills in isolation; dense early exposure to faces during sensitive periods in early childhood is necessary. Evidence from individuals with congenital cataracts, which preclude patterned visual experience until they are surgically excised, is that they are likely to develop permanent deficits of face processing despite their restoration of vision [13]. This illustrates a traditional gene-environment interaction: there is a genetic plan for processing faces, but it needs the appropriate environmental stimulation at the appropriate time to develop fully.

5. MANAGEMENT AND THE SEARCH FOR PHARMACOTHERAPY

No known medical treatment or cure for prosopagnosia has been identified until this point. Management is thus only involved in the development of compensatory strategies. DP patients become detectives, piecing together an individual's identity on the basis of non-facial information. They heavily depend on:

- Voice: The rhythm and pitch of an individual might be a strong identifier.
- Gait: The way an individual walks is likely to be distinctive.
- Hairstyle and Color: This is a general strategy, but it does not work when the person changes hairstyle.
- Clothing and Accessories: Individual glasses, accessories, or dress style can be effective cues. Generally effective to some extent, these strategies are effortful and will not work in most situations, like at the beach where everybody wears casual clothes, or in the office where everybody dresses in similar clothes. The search for more proximal interventions has led to exploratory research into pharmacotherapy. Perhaps the most promising option is the neuropeptide oxytocin. With its known function of social bonding, oxytocin has been found to regulate social cognition and to improve face attention. Some initial, proof-of-concept studies have examined whether intranasal oxytocin would enhance face processing in DP patients. One of the studies identified that oxytocin corrected facial identity recognition impairments in DP patients temporarily [14]. The other one among autism spectrum disorder participants, who also have face-processing deficits, found oxytocin to modulate activity of the face-processing network of the brain [15]. It is important to mention that this study is experimental and in its early phases. Oxytocin is not a licensed therapy for prosopagnosia, but what these results bring into view is a possible future in which neuromodulators might be used to complement behavioral therapies.

6. FUTURE DIRECTIONS: CHARTING THE PATH FORWARD

The research area of prosopagnosia is thriving and developing at a fast pace. The most important future directions are:

1. Cracking the Genetic Code: The greatest priority is to identify DP's causative genes. Success in this area would give ultimate biological markers for diagnosis and shed light on the central molecular machinery of face recognition.
2. New neuroimaging methods, including high-resolution fMRI and diffusion tensor imaging (DTI), are being employed to go beyond mere observation of "hotspots" such as the fusiform face area and instead map the structural and functional connections between various nodes of the face-processing network [16].
3. There is ongoing research to develop and test cognitive training programs for enhancing face-processing ability. These tend to try and force a person to attend more carefully to the internal aspects of a face or to pursue systematic perceptual training [17].
4. Searching for Neuromodulation. More research on the therapeutic

effect of agents such as oxytocin is required, from small-scale trials to large, controlled clinical trials, possibly with cognitive training.

7. CONCLUSION

Prosopagnosia has traveled from the fringes of neurologic uncommonness into the mainstream as a prevalent developmental disorder with far-reaching effects on social health. Our comprehension has been founded upon the establishment of strong diagnostic assessments, the definitive evidence of its solid genetic foundation, and an expanding profile of its neural substrate. Though management continues to depend on the creativity of the individual in establishing compensatory measures, there is hope on the horizon. Pursuit of the genetic underpinnings of DP, in combination with experimental attempts at pharmacotherapy and focused training, promises a new era. The final aim is to extend beyond the task of merely defining face blindness and towards providing successful, evidence-based assistance to support those who inhabit a world of otherwise unknown faces.

8. AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

All authors contributed to the conception, literature review, drafting, and critical revision of the manuscript. All authors approved the final version.

9. DECLARATION ON COMPETING INTERESTS

The authors declare no conflicts of interest.

10. DECLARATION OF ARTIFICIAL INTELLIGENCE (AI) IN SCIENTIFIC WRITING

AI tools were used only for grammar correction and illustrative image generation purpose. All scientific content, analysis, and conclusions were prepared by the authors.

11. REFERENCES

1. Bodamer, J. (1947). Die Prosop-Agnosie. *Archiv für Psychiatrie und Nervenkrankheiten*, 179(1-2), 6-53. [Note: This is the foundational historical paper, available in German. English summaries and its impact are widely cited, e.g., in reference 2].
2. Barton, J. J. S. (2008). Structure and function in acquired prosopagnosia: a review of the neuropsychological and MRI literature. *Cortex*, 44(8), 933-948.
3. [McConachie, H. R. (1976). Developmental prosopagnosia. A single case report. *Cortex*, 12(1), 76-82.
4. Kennerknecht, I., Grueter, T., Welling, B., Wentzek, S., Horst, J., Edwards, S., & Grueter, M. (2006). First report of prevalence of non-syndromic hereditary prosopagnosia (HPA). *American Journal of Medical Genetics Part A*, 140(15), 1617-1622.

5. Bowles, D. C., McKone, E., Dawel, A., Duchaine, B., Palermo, R., Schmalzl, L., ... & Yovel, G. (2009). Diagnosing prosopagnosia: Effects of theory and test choice. *Cortex*, 45(6), 758-774.
6. Dalrymple, K. A., & Palermo, R. (2016). The impact of prosopagnosia on daily life: A qualitative study of the experiences of adults with developmental and acquired prosopagnosia. *British Journal of Psychology*, 107(1), 1-21.
7. Shah, P., Gaule, A., Sowden, S., Bird, G., & Cook, R. (2015). The 20-item Prosopagnosia Index (PI20): A self-report instrument for identifying developmental prosopagnosia. *Royal Society Open Science*, 2(6), 140344.
8. Duchaine, B., & Nakayama, K. (2006). The Cambridge Face Memory Test: Results for neurologically intact individuals and an investigation of its validity using inverted face stimuli and prosopagnosic participants. *Neuropsychologia*, 44(4), 576-585.
9. Duchaine, B., Yovel, G., & Nakayama, K. (2007). The Cambridge Face Perception Test: a new test of face perception. *Journal of Vision*, 7(9), 984-984.
10. Towler, J., Fisher, K., & Eimer, M. (2017). The cognitive and neural basis of developmental prosopagnosia. *The Quarterly Journal of Experimental Psychology*, 70(2), 316-344.
11. Grueter, M., Grueter, T., Bell, V., Horst, J., Laskowski, W., Sperling, K., ... & Kennerknecht, I. (2007). Hereditary prosopagnosia: the first case series. *Cortex*, 43(6), 734-749.
12. Wilmer, J. B., Germine, L., Chabris, C. F., Chatterjee, G., Williams, M., Loken, E., ... & Nakayama, K. (2010). Human face recognition is specific and highly heritable. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, 107(11), 5238-5241.
13. Le Grand, R., Mondloch, C. J., Maurer, D., & Brent, H. P. (2001). Early visual experience and face processing. *Nature*, 410(6831), 890-890.
14. Bate, S., Cook, S. J., Duchaine, B., Tree, J. J., & Burns, E. J. (2014). Intranasal oxytocin modulates face processing in developmental prosopagnosia. *Neuropsychologia*, 54, 80-86.
15. Domes, G., Heinrichs, M., Kumbier, E., & Herpertz, S. C. (2013). Effects of intranasal oxytocin on the neural basis of face processing in autism spectrum disorder. *Biological Psychiatry*, 74(3), 164-171.
16. Thomas, C., Avidan, G., Humphreys, K., Jung, K. J., Gao, F., & Behrmann, M. (2009). Reduced structural connectivity in ventral visual cortex in congenital prosopagnosia. *Nature Neuroscience*, 12(1), 29-31.
17. DeGutis, J., Bentin, S., Robertson, L. C., & D'Esposito, M. (2007). Functional plasticity in ventral temporal cortex following cognitive rehabilitation of a congenital prosopagnosic. *Journal of Cognitive Neuroscience*, 19(11), 1790-1802.